

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/777,169	02/13/2004	Yuan-Chen Lee	MR1957-850	4462	
4586 7590 04/12/2007 ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE EXAMINER					
3458 ELLICOT	TT CENTER DRIVE-S	WONG, ALBERT KANG			
ELLICOTT CI	1 Y, MD 21043		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	04/12/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				<u> </u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/777,169	LEE, YUAN-CHEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Albert K. Wong	2612			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			,			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ebruary 2004.				
2a)□	•	action is non-final.		•		
3)[						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		,	,		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application		•			
, ., <u>.</u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•			
5)□		·	•	•		
6)□						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
	•			. *		
,—	The drawing(s) filed on 12 February 2004 is large		abjected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	<b>-</b>	` '	121/4)		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have beer	received in this National Stage	е		
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
			•			
•						
Attachmer	• •	🗖 .	(070 440)	٠.		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of I	nformal Patent Application			
Рар	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<del></del> · ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/777,169 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a keyboard with extension keys, classified in class 321, subclass 22.
  - II. Claims 5-9, drawn to a method of using a computer program to program akeyboard, classified in class 713, subclass 1.
  - III. Claims 10-15, drawn to a method of manually programming a keyboard, classified in class 710, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II-III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially different process as evidenced by the two different processes claimed. Further, the process can be used with a materially different product. One keyboard requires a setting key while the other keyboard requires a computer program.
- 3. Inventions II and III are directed to related methods of programming a keyboard with extension keys. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation,

Application/Control Number: 10/777,169

Art Unit: 2612

function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have materially different modes of operation, and are mutually exclusive and are not obvious variants. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Morton Rosenberg on April 9, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Application/Control Number: 10/777,169

Art Unit: 2612

Page 4

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert K. Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian A. Zimmerman can be reached on 571-272-3059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

allA

Albert K. Wong April 9, 2007

ALBERT K. WONG